



United States Patent and Trademark Office

Imp

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/927,333	08/13/2001		Michael L. Boyer II	8932-321-999	6086
20582	7590	02/21/2003			
PENNIE &		IDS LLP	EXAMINER		
1667 K STR SUITE 1000)		PHILOGENE, PEDRO		
WASHINGTON, DC 20006				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3732	·
				DATE MAILED: 02/21/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commons	09/927,333	BOYER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Pedro Philogene	3732					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 A	lugust 2001 .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2.3 	5) Notice of Informal I	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/927,333

Art Unit: 3732

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1-13, in Paper No. 08, is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grooms et al (6,090,998) in view of Gendler (5,556,430).

With respect to claim 1, Grooms et al disclose a ligament, tendon, and joint bone implant (300) comprising at least partially demineralized field (303) substantially surrounding at least one mineralized region (301), as set forth in column 4, lines 25-35.

It is noted that Grooms et al. did not teach of a bone sheet for implantation, as claimed by applicant. However, in a similar art, Gendler evidences the use of a bone sheet adapted to conform to the configuration of a skeletal region to be repaired.

Therefore, given the teaching of Gendler, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the ligament of Grooms et al. with the sheet of Gendler to provide adaptation and conformity to the configuration of a skeletal region to b repaired.

Application/Control Number: 09/927,333

Art Unit: 3732

With respect to claims 2-8, the above combination discloses all the limitations, as best seen in the FIGS., and as set forth in columns 2-7, lines 1-67 of Gooms et al.; and as set forth in columns 3-4, lines 1-67 of Gendler.

With respect to claims 9-13, the method steps, as set forth, would have obviously carried out in the operation of the device, as set forth above.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

5,507,813	4-1996	Dowd et al.
5,314,476	5-1994	Prewett et al.
6,436,138	8-2002	Dowd et al.
5,306,304	4-1994	Gendler
5,222,987	6-1993	Jones

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pedro Philogene whose telephone number is (703) 308-2252. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin P Shaver can be reached on (703) 308-2582. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 305-3591 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

Pedro Philogene February 13, 2003 PEDRO PHILOGENE PRIMARY EXAMINER